

N71-19238

NASA CR-116882

FERRITE SPINELS AS CATALYSTS IN THE  
OXIDATIVE DEHYDROGENATION OF BUTENES

W. Ronald Cares and Joe W. Hightower

Chemical Engineering Department  
Rice University  
Houston, Texas 77001

CASE FILE  
COPY

## INTRODUCTION

Since the early 1960's there has been much interest in the oxidative dehydrogenation and ammoxidation of mono-olefins to diolefins, aldehydes, and nitriles.<sup>1,2,3</sup> Although most work reported in the open literature deals with the use of bismuth oxide-molybdenum oxide systems (bismuth molybdates), many pure metals, single metal oxides, or mixed metal oxides have also been mentioned as catalysts for these reactions. Mechanistic studies<sup>2,4</sup> have demonstrated that the initial step is an allylic hydrogen abstraction from the olefin and that some type of oxidation-reduction reaction occurs in the catalyst structure itself.<sup>5</sup> It was thought that the use of a mixed oxide catalyst having a known crystal structure and incorporating metallic ions of variable oxidation state might lead to an effective catalyst and shed additional light on the reaction mechanism.

Various ferrite spinel structures seemed well suited for such studies, as the cation distributions in the spinels are fairly well established and the ferric ions offer the availability of a reducible cation. Moreover, one study<sup>6</sup> showed that  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  gives the greatest yield of butadiene from pure 1-butene of any of a group of transition metal oxides; as expected the ferrites are active catalysts for these reactions.<sup>7,8</sup> The ferrites chosen for these studies were  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ , both of which have an inverse spinel structure.<sup>9,10</sup>

The inverse spinel structure has the +2 oxidation state metal cations (Co and Cu in these cases) occupying octahedrally coordinated positions, whereas half of the +3 cations (Fe) occupy octahedrally coordinated positions while the other half of the +3 cations occupy tetrahedrally coordinated positions. Additionally, zinc aluminate, a normal spinel, was investigated in order to determine if the spinel structure alone was sufficient to produce an active catalyst.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The samples of cobalt ferrite and copper ferrite were obtained from Alfa Inorganics and were their normal commercial preparation. Both were used as black powders. The zinc aluminum spinel was a preparation kindly supplied by Esso Research and Engineering Laboratory; the fine, white, homogeneous powder was used as supplied.

Small amounts of catalysts were placed between glass wool plugs and sealed into a glass reactor which could be attached to a high vacuum recirculation system having a volume of 365 ml. Each catalyst sample was given the following initial pretreatment: evacuation at 520°C to  $\sim 10^{-6}$  Torr, treatment with a circulating 77°K trapped O<sub>2</sub> stream at 520°C for two hours, and re-evacuation to  $10^{-6}$  torr at 520°C. The temperature of the reactor was then lowered to the desired reaction temperature where the catalysts were usually

treated with  $O_2$  for 10 mins, followed by evacuation, before admission of the premixed reactants. After each experimental run, the catalyst sample was normally "re-activated" by  $O_2$  treatment either at  $520^\circ C$  or at reaction temperature. The reactor contained a thermocouple well to enable continuous monitoring of the catalyst temperature on a potentiometric, stripchart recorder. Temperature rises measured in this manner are necessarily minimum values, as any transient temperature increases must be transmitted through the glass wall of the thermocouple well.

Dried  $O_2$  was used for both the activation of the catalyst samples and as reactant. All butene samples (Phillips Research Grade) were subjected to several freeze at  $77^\circ K$ -pump-thaw cycles before being used as reactants.

The gas chromatographic system consisted of a  $3/8$  in x 25 ft column of 25% propylene carbonate on 80/100 mesh AW Chromosorb W thermostatted at  $0^\circ C$ , a Gow-Mac JDC-133 detector, and a Hewlett-Packard Model 7100 BR recorder with a Disc integrator. A second recorder pen measured the output from a thermocouple positioned in the catalyst bed. Analyses were calculated on the basis of  $1/4$  of the  $CO_2$  areas observed and were evaluated either for all components of the reaction mixture or for only carbon containing components. Analyses reported herein are on this latter basis, except for oxygen, which is on the former basis.

Deuterium exchange studies of the reactions were carried out with perdeuterio-trans-2-butene prepared in our laboratory. The individual butene isomers were trapped at 77°K as they emerged from the chromatograph and were analyzed for deuterium content on a CEC 21-104 mass spectrometer operated at low ionizing voltage. The usual fragmentation and C-13 corrections were made.

Electrical conductivity measurements were conducted in the reactor shown in Figure 1. The catalyst samples were prepared by packing a short length of fritted glass tubing with an aqueous slurry of the catalyst. Platinum gauze electrodes, to which platinum lead wires had been welded, were positioned at each end of the tube and held in place with additional catalyst paste. The pellet assembly was allowed to dry in air for several days; it was then installed in the reactor where it was activated by the same procedure used for the powdered catalyst samples. The platinum leads issuing from the reactor assembly were connected to a Wayne-Kerr Universal Bridge, Model B221A, which operated at 1592 Hz. Conductance measurements were made by manually balancing the bridge.

For all types of experiments the reaction mixtures were prepared by pressure measurements on a Texas Instruments Model 141 Servo Pressure Gauge; they were thoroughly mixed before being introduced to the catalyst at time  $t = 0$ . Samples were periodically removed for GLC analysis by expansion into a doser. Usually a

stoichiometric butene:  $O_2 = 2:1$  mixture was used. A sample of the initial mixture was first taken for chromatographic analysis before the reaction mixture was diverted over the catalyst sample. A trap thermostatted at  $0^\circ C$  in the recirculation loop allowed the vapor pressure of water, produced in the reaction, to increase from zero at zero time to a maximum of about 4.6 Torr.

## RESULTS

### Reactions in the Circulation Reactor System

#### Zinc Aluminum Spinel (ZAS)

The ZAS sample isomerized 1-butene to cis- and trans-2-butene at room temperature but it did not catalyze the oxidative dehydrogenation reaction. Increasing the reaction temperature to  $265^\circ C$  caused total oxidation of the butenes to  $CO_2$  but no partial oxidation product, butadiene, was detected. At room temperature the isomerization was highly cis selective, and the product ratios strongly resembled the ratios obtained under similar conditions with  $\gamma, \eta - Al_2O_3$ .<sup>11</sup>

#### Cobalt Ferrite

Four samples of  $CoFe_2O_4$ , designated Co-A - Co-D, weighed 0.54, 0.10, 1.0, and 0.50 g, respectively; the reactants were usually butene: $O_2 = 100:50$  Torr mixtures. The reactions were normally

slow, lasting from  $\frac{1}{2}$  to a few hours. Isomerization to other n-butenes, partial oxidation to butadiene, and oxidation to CO and CO<sub>2</sub> were the only reactions observed in the temperature range 300° - 530°C. As the temperature increased, the selectivity for the various products varied such that the ratio (partial oxidation)/(total oxidation) and the ratio (isomerization)/(oxidation) both decreased. At 400°C the partially oxidized products about equalled the butene suffering total oxidation, and the isomerization products were considerably less than the oxidized products at any given reaction time.

Direct kinetic measurements were difficult to obtain for several reasons. First, a significant temperature rise (usually a few degrees C) occurred when the reactants were first admitted to the catalyst. However, the temperature returned to its initial value within a few minutes. No attempt was made to moderate this exotherm by addition of an inert gas. Second, there was extensive poisoning by the product butadiene, as addition of 16% butadiene to a standard reaction mixture at 360°C decreased the rates of all reactions by about a factor of 8. Third, the partial pressure of water varied in the reactor system from zero to 4.6 Torr before becoming constant. Finally, explosion hazards limit the butene:O<sub>2</sub> ratio which may be safely employed.

Despite these experimental difficulties, certain qualitative

observations can be made regarding the reaction orders. An initial  $O_2$ /butene = 10/50 Torr mixture was allowed to react over Co-B at 360°C until the rate became slow (there was still considerable  $O_2$  present in the gas phase). More  $O_2$  (10 Torr) was added to the reacting mixture after one hour and again after two hours; the results are shown in Figure 2. The breaks in the curves indicate that the reaction is somewhat greater than zero order in oxygen. Other experiments (see Figure 3) indicated a reaction order also less than unity in butene, while there is an inverse order effect of the butadiene partial pressure. The isomerization is cis- selective, as the initial cis-/trans- ratio from 1-butene is about 1.8.

During regeneration with oxygen between experiments, a large exotherm was observed in the catalyst bed, presumably due to removal of a carbonaceous residue and/or reoxidation of the partially reduced catalyst. Accumulation of  $CO_2$  in the recirculation trap thermostatted at 77°K provided evidence for the former.

#### Copper Ferrite

The reactions of trans-2-butene were studied at 435°C over three different 0.50 gram  $CuFe_2O_4$  samples. Unlike the  $CoFe_2O_4$  catalysts, the first experimental run over each  $CuFe_2O_4$  showed an induction period before significant reaction was observed (see Figure 4). The induction period was not observed on subsequent experimental runs on the  $CuFe_2O_4$  sample following re-activation.



Figure 5 illustrates the typical results for the reaction of a 50 Torr trans-2-butene/28 Torr O<sub>2</sub> mixture on a reactivated CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> sample. The 1-butene and cis-2-butene products of this reaction are produced in equilibrium amounts at all times.<sup>12</sup>

Figure 6 illustrates the reaction of 50 Torr trans-2-butene with the reactivated catalyst sample, but without any added oxygen gas. The initial rate of trans-2-butene disappearance is very similar to the rate shown in Figure 5, where O<sub>2</sub> gas is present. Also, the initial rate of formation of each product from the trans-2-butene reactant is similar in the two cases. The absence of gas phase oxygen becomes evident only after the reaction has proceeded to a considerable extent. Total oxidation of the trans-2-butene becomes less important while partial oxidation to butadiene becomes the dominant reaction; the isomerization reaction also increases in importance and actually exceeds that of the reaction with gas phase oxygen.

In contrast to these reactions on a fully oxidized CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> sample, the results of the reaction of a 50 Torr trans-2-butene/27 Torr O<sub>2</sub> on a reduced CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, i.e., a CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> sample which had not been reactivated following an experimental run, are shown in Figure 7. In this case much less reaction occurs and the initial reaction rates are less than those on the oxidized catalyst with or without gaseous oxygen. The loss of oxygen from the reaction

mixture, however, is faster than that for the oxidized sample. The fact that the  $\text{CO}_2$  yield for the reduced catalyst is much less than that for the oxidized catalyst indicates that the faster loss of oxygen is not due primarily to the combustion of a carbon residue from the reduced catalyst.

#### Deuterium Exchange Studies in Trans-2-Butene Oxidation

The distribution of deuterium in the product butadiene and butenes was investigated over both  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  at  $435^\circ\text{C}$ . Approximately 25 Torr each of trans-2-butene, perdeuterio-trans-2-butene and  $\text{O}_2$  were reacted over each reactivated catalyst in the circulation reactor system. Table I gives the deuterium distributions observed for the initial trans-2-butene reactant and for the products over  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4\text{-D}$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  catalysts.

For the butenes the average number of hydrogen/deuterium atoms exchanged per molecule can be expressed by the equation<sup>11</sup>

$$\text{ATOMS EXCH/MOLECULE} = \sum_{i=0}^3 i N_i + \sum_{i=4}^8 (8-i)N_i - C$$

where  $N_i$  represents the mole fraction of each isotopic species containing  $i$  deuterium atoms. This formulation assumes that all product molecules containing three or fewer deuterium atoms originated from the  $\text{d}_0$  species; all containing more than four deuterium atoms originated from the  $\text{d}_8$  material. Those with four deuterium

atoms were assumed to stem equally from both directions. The C term represents a correction for the  $d_5$ ,  $d_6$ , and  $d_7$  species present in the original perdeuterio starting material. The correction term C was calculated by the equation

$$C = \left[ \sum_{i=4}^8 (8-i) N_i \right]_{\text{REACTANT}} \frac{\left[ \sum_{i=4}^8 N_i \right]_{\text{PRODUCT}}}{\left[ \sum_{i=4}^8 N_i \right]_{\text{REACTANT}}}$$

Thus, it represents a correction useful in relating the total amount of heavy material in the product to that in the reactant. Isotope effects may be estimated from the relative reactivities of the light and heavy species as judged from the ratio of light material,

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 N_i + \frac{1}{2} N_4$$

to heavy material

$$\sum_{i=5}^8 N_i + \frac{1}{2} N_4$$

in the products and normalized to the similar ratio for the reactants. The average isotope effect for formation of all products may be obtained from the light/heavy ratio of the reacted trans. For the butadiene product the isotope effect may be estimated from the ratio of light to heavy material in the product

$$\left[ \frac{1}{2} N_3 + \sum_{i=0}^2 N_i \right] / \left[ \frac{1}{2} N_3 + \sum_{i=4}^6 N_i \right]$$

This formulation assumes that the  $d_3$  material comes equally from the light and heavy starting materials. This ratio must also be normalized to the light to heavy ratio of the starting butene.

The calculation of the average number of hydrogen/deuterium atoms exchanged per molecule of butadiene formed is more difficult due to the loss of two hydrogens/deuteriums from the molecule. The formula,

$$\text{ATOMS EXCH/MOLEC} = \sum_{i=0}^2 i N_i + \sum_{i=3}^6 (6-i) N_i - C_2$$

which is analogous to that for the butenes, may be used. The form of the correction term  $C_2$  is uncertain; however, its value may be estimated fairly accurately as lying between two limits. For  $C_2 = 0$  an upper limit is obtained for the number of exchanged atoms/molecule. Alternatively,

$$C_2 = \left[ \sum_{i=4}^8 (8-i) N_i \right]_{\text{REACTANT}} \frac{\left[ \frac{1}{2} N_3 + \sum_{i=4}^6 N_i \right]_{\text{BUTADIENE}}}{\left[ \sum_{i=4}^8 N_i \right]_{\text{REACTANT}}}$$

should give a lower limit to the exchange since the observed isotope effect will cause a slight increase in the mole fractions of the heavy species in the product, thus making the  $\left[ \frac{1}{2} N_3 + \sum_{i=4}^6 N_i \right]$  term greater than it should be, relative to that term of the

reactants. Perhaps a more correct method for evaluating the number of atoms exchanged per molecule in the butadiene is to calculate the expected deuterium distribution in the butadiene by statistical methods using the known distribution of the starting butene and the isotope effect found above for the butadiene formation. Such a calculation leads to a value of atoms exchanged per molecule which is to be expected if no actual exchange occurs, that is, to a value for  $C_2$ . Values reported for butadiene are calculated by this later method.

It is possible to calculate the amount of carbon dioxide which has been formed from light and heavy reactant from a material balance on the H and D atoms. The ratio of  $CO_2$  from light material to that from heavy material gives an isotope effect value for  $CO_2$  production. These isotope effect values were 1.4 for the  $CoFe_2O_4$  and 4.5 for the  $CuFe_2O_4$  on a total basis or 1.04 and 1.18, respectively, on a per hydrogen removed basis. Table II gives the values of the isotope effects observed and the corrected values of the number of atoms exchanged per molecule.

#### Electrical Conductivity Studies of $CoFe_2O_4$

Figure 8 represents the electrical conductivities measured through a  $CoFe_2O_4$  catalyst held in a porous glass cylinder during (a) its reaction with 52 Torr trans-2-butene, (b) its

reoxidation with a 77°K trapped 108 Torr O<sub>2</sub> stream, and (c) its reaction with a 49 Torr trans-2-butene/24 Torr O<sub>2</sub> stream. Such data is typical of results obtained on this "pellet". Since the exact pellet geometry is not known, only absolute conductivity readings are reported. The conductivity values observed for the totally oxidized pellet following each of several reaction cycles vary by about  $\pm$  25% of the average absolute reading; however, this variation is small when compared with the order of magnitude changes that are observed upon performing reduction-oxidation reactions over the catalyst. Prolonged reduction of the pellet with trans-2-butene led to a conductivity of about 62 mMho after four day's reaction time. The energy gap for a reduced pellet,  $\sigma = 11.8$  mMho at 420°C, was found by fitting conductivity versus temperature data to the equation

$$\sigma = A \exp - E/2kT$$

The value of 0.30 ev was determined for E. For the fully oxidized pellet a value of 0.65 ev was observed.

#### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The fact that the zinc aluminum spinel was not an active catalyst for the oxidative dehydrogenation of butene to butadiene immediately suggests that the spinel structure itself is not a sufficient criterion for catalytic activity. This finding is not

totally unexpected. The presence of a reducible cation appears to be necessary to give activity to the spinels. Neither  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  nor  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  is easily reducible whereas  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  can be readily reduced to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ . This reduction of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  by butene in a  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  catalyst has recently been confirmed by the authors through the use of Mössbauer spectroscopy.

The kinetics of the n-butene reactions over both cobalt and copper ferrites are not simple. Strong inhibition of the reactions by butadiene is indicated. Figure 2 suggests that the dependence of the oxidation rates on the oxygen concentration is close to zero, yet definitely not zero. The similarity of the initial loss of butene shown in Figures 5 and 6 (one with, the other without gaseous  $\text{O}_2$ ) indicates that the catalyst oxygen has a major effect on the reaction rates whereas the gas phase oxygen may serve only in reoxidizing the catalyst itself. The much lower reaction rates shown in Figure 7 (reduced catalyst) confirm this participation by catalyst oxygen and that gas phase oxygen serves to reoxidize the reduced catalyst.

The copper ferrite is about 10 times more active on a weight basis than is the cobalt ferrite. However, the selectivity of the oxidation reaction to butadiene is much poorer on the copper ferrite. Additionally, the isomerization of the starting trans-2-butene over the copper ferrite yields the equilibrium ratio of

cis-2- /1-butenes, whereas over cobalt ferrite there is a small preference for forming 1-butene over the cis isomer. The greater reducibility of the copper ion relative to the cobalt may be the major factor in the difference in activity of the two catalysts.

The electrical conductivity study of the cobalt ferrite shows that a definite steady state of the catalysts exists during the oxidative dehydrogenation reaction. This steady state conductivity indicates a partial reduction of the catalyst to a level which is relatively close to its fully oxidized state. For example, if one assumes an empirical linear dependence of conductivity on degree of reduction, a rough estimate of the steady state reduction can be calculated. Based on a conductivity of 100  $\mu$ Mho for a fully oxidized pellet and 62,000  $\mu$ Mho for a catalyst reduced as completely as possible with trans-2-butene, one obtains a value of only 0.2% reduction in the steady state which showed a conductivity of 250  $\mu$ Mho.

The shape of the conductivity versus time plot in Figure 8a seems to indicate a fast reaction of butene with the surface oxygen of the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  followed by a slower reaction with the bulk oxygen. Figure 8b shows that reoxidation of the reduced catalyst with pure oxygen is quite fast. Superposition of the data of Figures 8a and 8b yields a point of intersection of the two graphs at about 200  $\mu$ Mho. This point could then be predicted as the



approximate steady state conductivity that would be observed if a butene-oxygen mixture had been added to the reactor. The steady state conductivity of about 250  $\mu$ Mho shown in Figure 8c confirms this prediction. The break point of the conductivity-time curve at about 800-900  $\mu$ Mho shown in Figure 8a may represent the point where surface oxygen is completely removed. If that is true, the steady state observed during reaction at 250  $\mu$ Mho indicates that the catalyst surface is about 20% reduced at its operating steady state. Somewhat similar studies<sup>13</sup> have been carried out during propylene oxidation over bismuth molybdate.

The co-reaction of normal and perdeuterio trans-2-butene can lead to information concerning the nature of the reaction. Isotope effects observed for butadiene and butene production over cobalt ferrite are higher than those observed over the copper ferrite; values range from about 1.4 to 2.4 versus 1.1 to 1.8. On the other hand, values found for the number of atoms exchanged per molecule are lower on the cobalt than on the copper ferrite, the values range from 0.02 to 0.13 and from 0.20 to 0.36, respectively. Neither catalyst showed any exchange into the reactant trans-2-butene. If each isomerization reaction were accompanied by the exchange of one hydrogen atom, then for the 1:1 light to heavy reactant mixture used, the value of 0.5 would

be expected for the number of atoms exchanged per molecule since one half of the reactions would be between like molecules, which would produce no observable exchange. The exchange of ca. 0.34 molecules into both cis-2- and 1-butene over  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  may indicate two separate reaction pathways. The first pathway involves an intermolecular hydrogen exchange whereas the second pathway involves an intramolecular mechanism. The relative importance of the two routes would be about 2 to 1. Over the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ , the isomerization reaction proceeds by a predominantly intramolecular path. Butadiene is produced with essentially no hydrogen exchange over  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  whereas over  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  about 2/5's of the molecules have undergone exchange. The hydrogen exchange into the butadiene over the more active  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  may take place after the actual butadiene formation reaction through a subsequent scrambling reaction.

Assuming that there is a difference in activation energy for hydrogen versus deuterium containing species of about 1 kcal/mole, the observed isotope effects may be approximately corrected to room temperature isotope effects. These room temperature isotope effects are in the range of 4 to 5 for product formation over  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and 3 to 4 over  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ . These values indicate a primary isotope effect over both catalysts, and that C-H bond cleavage is probably involved in the rate determining step. An allylic hydrogen abstraction is in agreement with

these data, as previously reported for propylene oxidation on bismuth molybdate or on cuprous oxide.<sup>2</sup> The isotope effect per hydrogen removed for the butadiene (1.6 on  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and 1.3 on  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ) indicates that the removal of each hydrogen could be a slow step in the reaction pathway. The sequential removal of hydrogen atoms may also be indicated by the total isotope effects observed over each catalyst for carbon dioxide formation. The value of 1.36 over  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  could be accounted for by a single hydrogen abstraction. The value of 4.54 over  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  appears to be high; its determination is subject to the compounding of errors in the individual analyses and may be as low as 2.3, which would again be reasonable for a single hydrogen abstraction. The average isotope effects observed in the disappearance of the trans-2-butene reactant also leads to a primary effect that is compatible with a hydrogen removal in the rate determining step.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Both  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  spinels are active catalysts for oxidative dehydrogenation of n-butenes to butadiene. However, both suffer from their tendency to catalyze total oxidation as well. Neither is an effective n-butene isomerization catalyst, even at high temperatures. Surface and lattice oxygen atoms participate in the reactions, and the steady state in the

presence of gaseous oxygen, at least for  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ , is near the totally oxidized state. Selectivity for the dehydrogenation reaction is strongly dependent on the presence of gaseous oxygen in the case of the Co catalyst, although the selectivity over the Cu catalyst is not a strong function of gaseous oxygen. The kinetics are not simple; the pressure dependencies are less than first order in both butene and oxygen pressures and the reactions are inhibited by the presence of butadiene. C-H bond cleavage is probably involved in the rate-determining step of all reactions, although the reactions showed some characteristics of both inter- and intra-molecular mechanisms.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial assistance from the Gulf Research and Development Corporation and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's Material Research Grant No. Nsg-6-59. We also thank Mr. W. D. Smith for preparing the perdeuterio butenes.

## REFERENCES

1. Sampson, R. J., and Shooter, D., Oxidation and Combustion Reviews, Vol. I, C. F. H. Tipper, Ed., Elsevier Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1965), p. 223.
2. Voge, H. H., and Adams, C. R., Advances in Catalysis 17, 151 (1967).
3. Skarchenko, V. K., Russian Chemical Reviews 37, 1 (1968). [Eng.]
4. Adams, C. R., Proc. 3rd International Congr. Catalysis, Amsterdam, Vol. I, p. 240 (1964).
5. Batist, Ph. A., Lippens, B. C., and Schuit, G. C. A., J. Catalysis 5, 55 (1966).
6. Simons, Th. G. J., Verheijen, E. J. M., Batist, Ph. A., and Schuit, G. C. A., Oxidation of Organic Compounds, Vol. II, ACS Advances in Chemistry Series 76, 261 (1968).
7. Renard, R. J., and Kehl, W. L., Preprints General Papers, Petroleum Chemistry Division, 159th National ACS Meeting, Houston, Texas p. B74 (1970).
8. Bajars, L., Belgium Patent 617,890 (1962); Bajars, L., Belgium Patent 617,891 (1962); Gay, R. J., Belgium Patent 617,892 (1962); Bajars, L., and Mantell, R., Belgium Patent 617,893 (1962).
9. McClure, D. S., J. Phys. Chem. Solids 3, 311 (1957).
10. Dunitz, J. D., and Orgel, L. E., J. Phys. Chem. Solids 3, 318 (1957).
11. Hightower, J. W., and Hall, W. K., Chem. Eng. Prog. 63, 122 (1967).
12. Golden, D. M., Egger, K. W., and Benson, S. W., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 86, 5416 (1964).
13. Peacock, J. M., Parker, A. J., Ashmore, P. G., and Hockey, J. A., J. Catalysis 15, 387 (1969).

TABLE 1

Tracer Results During Oxidation of trans-2-Butene  $d_0$ ,  $d_8$  Mixtures

Species	% by G.C.	Isotopic Composition, %								
		d <sub>0</sub>	d <sub>1</sub>	d <sub>2</sub>	d <sub>3</sub>	d <sub>4</sub>	d <sub>5</sub>	d <sub>6</sub>	d <sub>7</sub>	d <sub>8</sub>
CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Catalyst										
Initial <u>trans</u> -2-butene	100.0	49.9					0.2	2.0	12.9	35.0
Product <u>trans</u> -2-butene	63.6	40.3	0.2				0.1	1.9	14.6	42.8
<u>cis</u> -2-butene	3.2	55.0	3.6				0.4	3.9	15.0	22.0
1-butene	1.2	58.9	5.9	0.1			0.6	4.9	15.8	13.8
butadiene	23.2	59.3	1.3	0.1		0.6	6.5	22.2		
$\frac{1}{4}$ CO <sub>2</sub>	8.8									
CuFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Catalyst										
Initial <u>trans</u> -2-butene	100.0	57.2					0.1	1.5	11.3	29.9
Product <u>trans</u> -2-butene	53.6	38.1	0.8	0.0			0.2	2.4	16.0	42.4
<u>cis</u> -2-butene	3.9	50.8	8.2	0.4		0.1	1.3	7.0	19.3	12.8
1-butene	3.5	50.5	9.7	0.5	0.1	0.1	1.3	7.3	19.1	11.5
butadiene	10.2	64.5	5.3	0.4	0.3	1.9	8.9	18.8		
$\frac{1}{4}$ CO <sub>2</sub>	28.8									

Catalyst Weight: 0.50 g, Reaction Temperature: 435°C,  $P_{d_0} = P_{d_8} = P_{O_2} = 25$  Torr.

TABLE 2

Isotope Effects and Hydrogen Exchange During Oxidation of trans-2-Butene  $d_0$ ,  $d_8$  Mixtures

Products	Isotope Effect	Atoms Exchanged Per Molecule
$CoFe_2O_4$ Catalyst		
<u>trans</u> -2-butene	2.0	--
<u>cis</u> -2-butene	1.4	0.13
1-butene	1.9	0.11
butadiene	2.4	0.02
$CO_2$	1.4	
$CuFe_2O_4$ Catalyst		
<u>trans</u> -2-butene	2.7	--
<u>cis</u> -2-butene	1.1	0.33
1-butene	1.1	0.36
butadiene	1.8	0.26
$CO_2$	4.5	

Catalyst Weight: 0.50 g, Reaction Temperature:  $435^\circ C$ ,  $P_{d_0} = P_{d_8} = P_{O_2} = 25$  Torr

# FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1      Reactor Assembly for Electrical Conductivity Measurements
- Figure 2      Periodic Addition of 10 Torr  $O_2$  to a 10 Torr  $O_2$ /50 Torr 1-Butene Reactant Mixture over 0.10 g  $CoFe_2O_4$  at 360°C
- Figure 3      Reactivities of 50 Torr trans-2-butene/28 Torr  $O_2$  and 100 Torr trans-2-butene/28 Torr  $O_2$  Mixtures over 0.50 g  $CoFe_2O_4$  at 436°C
- Figure 4      Induction Period Observed on Reaction of a 50 Torr trans-2-butene/28 Torr  $O_2$  Mixture over 0.50 g of Fresh  $CuFe_2O_4$  at 435°C
- Figure 5      Reactivity of a 50 Torr trans-2-butene/28 Torr  $O_2$  Mixture over 0.50 g of Reactivated  $CuFe_2O_4$  at 435°C
- Figure 6      Reactivity of a 50 Torr trans-2-butene over 0.50 g of Reactivated  $CuFe_2O_4$  at 435°C
- Figure 7      Reactivity of a 50 Torr trans-2-butene/27 Torr  $O_2$  Mixture over 0.50 g of Non-reactivated  $CuFe_2O_4$  at 435°C
- Figure 8      Electrical Conductivities Measured Through a  $CoFe_2O_4$  "Pellet" During its Sequential Reaction with  
                  (a) a 52 Torr trans-2-butene stream,  
                  (b) a 77°K trapped, 108 Torr  $O_2$  stream, and  
                  (c) a 49 Torr trans-2-butene/24 Torr  $O_2$  stream

















